

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,556	04/12/2004	Masashi Enomoto	S1459.70088US00	2616	
7590 04/07/2008 Randy J. Pritzker			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.			HALL, ASHA J		
600 Atlantic A Boston, MA 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ,			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/822.556 ENOMOTO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ΔSHΔ ΗΔΙΙ 1705 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ASHA HALL. (3)Randy J. Pritzker. (2) Alexa Neckel. (4)Kuang Shin Tai. Date of Interview: 20 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Meinhardt et al. ("Optoelectronic Device made from Multilaver nad Molecularly Doped Organic Layers", SPIE Conference on Organic Photonic Materials and Devices, Vol. 3623, January 1999. pp.46-57).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Pritzker and Mr. Tai explained that the PEDO:PSST/intermediate film layer of Meinhardt et al. fails to contact the metal film electrodes. Mr. Pritzker and Mr Tai propose amendments to claim 1 disclosing that the Applicant's intermediate film layer directly contacts the metal film. Examiner Hall indicated that a new search would be performed upon the submission of the proposed amendments...

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

> /Alexa D. Neckel/ SPE. Art Unit 1795

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.